



Promoting City, Coast & Countryside

# **URGENT BUSINESS**

Cabinet

Tuesday, 11 March 2014

Agenda Item Number	Page	Title
3	1 - 7	ITEMS OF URGENT BUSINESS AUTHORISED BY THE LEADER
		PARKING CHARGES – OBJECTION
		A decision is required at this meeting to allow for any changes to be in place for April 1, 2014. In the event of the decision being called in, the call-in would need to be held prior to 24 March 2014 in order to meet statutory notice requirements.

Agenda Item 3



# Parking Charges-Objection 11th March 2014

# **Report of Chief Officer (Environment)**

PURPOSE OF REPORT						
To allow Cabinet to consider objections to the Council's plan to charge for car parking in Lancaster on bank holidays.						
Key Decision	Non-Key Decision			Referral from Officer	Χ	
Date of notice of key decision	N/A	<b>I</b>				
This report is public						

### OFFICER RECOMMENDATIONS

- (1) Not to uphold the objections and to continue the process of implementing the decisions already made by Cabinet.
- (2) In the event that Cabinet did uphold the objection Cabinet would be requested to consider whether it represents a substantial change.

#### 1.0 Introduction

- 1.1 Cabinet (21<sup>st</sup> Jan 2014) agreed a number of revisions to car parking charges for the 2014/15 financial year. One of these changes was to bring Lancaster in line with Morecambe through the introduction of car parking charges in Lancaster on bank holidays.
- 1.2 Prior to Cabinet's consideration the proposals had been made available for consultation and had as is always the case been sent directly to the Lancaster District Chamber of Commerce and Lancaster BID as key stakeholders. No responses to the proposals were received.
- 1.3 Following Cabinet's decisions as required by the Road Traffic Regulation Act the proposals were publicly advertised. As part of the advert objections to any aspect of the draft off street parking order can be made (by the 7<sup>th</sup> March 2014).
- 1.4 Two objections (see attached Appendix) have been lodged by the Lancaster

District Chamber of Commerce and Lancaster BID in relation to the fact that the draft order shows that parking charges will now apply in Lancaster on bank holidays, as is already the case in Morecambe.

#### 2.0 **Proposal Details**

- 2.1 Cabinet are requested to consider the objections and decide whether they wish to
  - a) Not uphold the objections in which case officers will continue the necessary process to implement the decisions already made by Cabinet. As this decision has been taken using the Council's procedures for urgent business it should still be possible to implement the changes on 1<sup>st</sup> April 2014.
  - b) **Uphold the objections** in which case officers may have to advertise the change to the draft order and because of statutory timescales the order may not be implemented on 1<sup>st</sup> April 2014.

Officers will only have to advertise the change to the draft order if Cabinet considers the change to be a 'substantial change'.

If the change to the draft order has to be advertised this will result in a delay. This is because of statutory time scales. A delay will of course have a wider budgetary impact than just the estimated income of £5,000 that had been forecast for the introduction of bank holiday car parking charges in Lancaster.

- 2.2 In considering the objections (which are both only to the decision to introduce car parking charges in Lancaster on bank holidays) Cabinet should note-
  - Bank holiday charging for parking in Morecambe has been in place for several years now.
  - The Council has in place a parking strategy which sets out how best to manage car parking within this District. The strategy recognises that appropriate car parking charges can do much to support the strategy and the wider public realm. The introduction of bank holiday car parking charges for Lancaster was made to support the wider aims of the car parking strategy.
  - Lancaster BID have provided information to evidence that footfall in Lancaster on bank holidays is lower than normal. This could actually be seen as an indication that free parking on bank holidays has no great influence on attracting visitors to the City.
  - No substantive evidence has been provided as to what the negative impact on trade in the City Centre would be with.
  - The Council is very much supports a revitalised City Centre, which will prove a draw to residents and visitors. As such it is working with the County Council to deliver Square Routes and is also working on many other regeneration initiatives as well as being an active member of BID.
  - The significant contribution that properly managed car parking makes to the

Council's ability to deliver its priorities.

- The estimated budgetary impact of upholding the objection would be a shortfall in income of £5,000 per annum.
- 2.3 In considering whether the removal of the parking charges on bank holidays is a 'substantial change' and therefore requires to be advertised, Cabinet will note that the removal of the charge will reduce the cost of parking for the district not increase it. This may suggest that such a change is not of significant effect requiring advertisement. In addition to this, the removal of this charge may not be considered significant when viewed in the context of the overall order and the charges it imposes.

#### 3.0 Details of Consultation

3.1 As outlined in the report Lancaster District Chamber of Commerce and Lancaster BID had been specifically consulted with prior to Cabinet considering this issue. No response was received at the time.

#### 4.0 Officer Preferred Option (and comments)

4.1 The officer preferred option is option **a**) Not uphold the objection.

#### 5.0 Conclusion

5.1 Cabinet are requested to consider their response to the objection.

#### RELATIONSHIP TO POLICY FRAMEWORK

The delivery of priorities within the Council's corporate plan is resourced by the Council's budget which was recently agreed by Council for 2014/15. Income generated from off street car parking contributes significantly to the Council's ability to deliver its priorities. Furthermore appropriate management of car parking contributes significantly to the wide management of the public realm.

#### CONCLUSION OF IMPACT ASSESSMENT

(including Health & Safety, Equality & Diversity, Human Rights, Community Safety, HR, Sustainability and Rural Proofing)

Introduction of charging in Lancaster on bank holidays would be consistent with what is already the case in Morecambe.

Blue Badge holders can park for free on all the District's off street car parks and this remains the case in the draft traffic order

#### LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

The Local Authorities' Traffic Orders (Procedure) (England and Wales) Regulations 1996 (the Regulations) require the Council to consider any valid objection received within the 21 day consultation period.

Should the draft order be amended, and the amendment be deemed to be a significant change to the draft order, the Council will have to take such steps considered appropriate (pursuant to regulation 14 or the Regulations) to (1) inform persons likely to be affected by the modification, (2) give those persons an opportunity to make representations and (3)

Page 4

ensure that such representations are duly considered by the Council.

In any event the objector should be informed of the Council's decision.

# FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The 2014/15 agreed revenue budget for off-street parking income (including permits) is  $\pounds 2,448,500$ .

As detailed in the report, the estimated budgetary impact of upholding the objection would be a shortfall in income of  $\pounds$ 5,000 per annum. Any delays in implementing the new charges will result in further shortfalls estimated at  $\pounds$ 2,000 per week.

There will also be further advertising costs if required, these are estimated to be £1,500.

As the budget has been set and agreed by Council, at its meeting of 26<sup>th</sup> February 2014, any agreed budgetary shortfall will require compensating savings to be found

## OTHER RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS

Human Resources:

NA

**Information Services:** 

NA

**Property:** 

NA

**Open Spaces:** 

NA

## **SECTION 151 OFFICER'S COMMENTS**

The Section 151 Officer has been consulted and has no further comments

## MONITORING OFFICER'S COMMENTS

The Monitoring Officer has been consulted and has no further comments

BACKGROUND PAPERS	Contact Officer: Mark Davies	
nn = n	Telephone: 01524 582401	
none	E-mail: mdavies@lancaster.gov.uk	
	Ref:	

Page 5

# Chamber of Commerce

e: info@lancaster-chamber.org.uk w: www.lancaster-chamber.org.uk

Ref: LCC/pf&c/2014

28<sup>th</sup> February 2014

Mr Mark Cullinan Chief Executive Lancaster City Council Town Hall Dalton Square Lancaster, LA1 1PJ

Dear Mr Cullinan,

Following the proposal for the Lancaster City Council Off Street Parking Places Order 2014, I would like state my emphatic objections to the parking fees and charges amendments. The particular point of contestation is the plan to implement charges during Bank Holidays, a decision the Chamber Board and Members believe would be significantly detrimental to the local business community.

While I understand that the government cuts have resulted in the Council's need to save over the next couple of years, the decision to introduce regular-tariff parking fees on Bank Holidays does not seem to consider the ensuing repercussions. It would be counterintuitive to introduce new charges that would simultaneously forestall future contributors, and counterproductive to the businesses investing in events aimed at stimulating additional customer visits.

Deterring visitors from the town centre on Bank Holidays would unnecessarily penalise businesses - which are entirely self-reliant in generating their revenue - by averting trade. Simultaneously, potential private investors may be reluctant to become established in Lancaster if trade is expected to decrease.

It is indeed a difficult position in having to decide the precise areas for funding cuts and for increasing charges, and undoubtedly a necessary one in the current economic climate. However, it is objectionable to wittingly impede our local enterprises, which are highly instrumental in helping to sustain the economy. Especially as many businesses are involved in the Business Improvement District, a scheme that endeavours to improve the city on a collective scale, this would be an unfortunate remuneration.

We implore you and your members to reconsider its decision and look forward to hearing from you.

Yours faithfully,

VICKY LOFTHOUSE CHAMBER MANAGER

Page 6

Lancaster Unlimited (Promoting a Business Improvement District for Lancaster) c/o Lancaster District Chamber of Commerce Commerce House Fenton Street LANCASTER LA1 1AB Tel: 01524 381331 www.lancasterbid.org



Lancaster Town Hall Dalton Square LANCASTER LA1 1PJ

Weds 5th March, 2014

Dear Mr Cullinan

The members of Lancaster's Business Improvement District have great concerns about the proposed introduction of Car Parking Charges to be made for Bank Holidays. A key objective of the BID Management Committee and the ethos of the Business Improvement District for Lancaster is to increase footfall to Lancaster City Centre during Bank Holidays as well as promoting the City to visitors and visitor groups for the future, encouraging events and promotions to take place during Bank Holiday periods. The ability to advertise free parking for these Bank Holidays is a very necessary element of the total marketing package for Lancaster.

This particularly in the situation where businesses are facing a second year of severe traffic disruption due to the ongoing, large scale United Utilities project with no attempts at mitigation where parking charges are concerned.

A saving of £5000 to the City Council is a paltry figure in comparison to the economic damage that could be done to City Centre businesses by the loss of free parking at Bank Holidays..

For this reason, Lancaster BID would like to appeal against the proposed introduction of Bank Holiday charges for Car Parking in Lancaster City Centre, as we feel it will be a massive disincentive to the improvement of visitor numbers to the City. I have included below footfall figures provided by two of our group members, St Nics and Marketgate which illustrate well the weakness of the Bank Holiday days in comparison to normal trading days. The first set of figures are provided by St Nics and show equivalent Monday counts as a percentage of a given week during 2013.

DAY	MON COUNT	TOTAL WEEK	%
Easter Monday Following Monday May Day Following Week Spring Bank Following Week August Bank Following Week	6988 10475 8619 10146 7128	79372 79550 73372 78682 79923 68401 81431	10.4 14.3 9.5 13.3 10.8 14.8 8.8
I blowing week	13295	87515	15.2

 $e^{2i}$ 

Of course should it be required these figures are fully auditable and full records are held.

# Page 7

The figures below have been provided by Marketgate and paint an almost identical picture.

Good Friday 29 March	11,622	Monthly Fri avg 13,441		
Easter Mon 1 Apr	7,132	Monthly Mon avg 12,300		
May Day Mon 6 May	6,907	Monthly Mon avg 12,800		
Spring Bank Mon 27 May	7,672	<i>и и и и</i>		
Summer Mon 26 August	6,564	Monthly Mon avg 12,500		

The members of the group are aware that the free parking offer at Marketgate is actually a concession by a private car park owner who appreciates the value of free parking in encouraging more frequent and longer visits on what are difficult trading days.

I should state on a separate note that Lancaster BID greatly welcome the introduction by the City Council this year of a mobile technology method of payment which will prove an excellent service add-on where pay on departure does not exist.

Yours sincerely

Mr Paul Cusimano The Chairman of Lancaster Unlimited Lancaster's Business Improvement District